

# Identity

Magazine of the British National Party

August 2005 - Issue 57 - £1.95

**3,000,000 followers in the UK**

**3,000 trained at Al Qaeda camps**

**8 terrorist explosions in our capital**

**1 vicious and wicked faith**







# August's News

## » Terror 'The Price For Going to War'

A report from the establishment body the Royal Institute for International Affairs says that Blair's support for the invasion of Iraq gave a lift to al-Qaeda's recruitment and that as a result there were "particular difficulties for the UK". It adds that Blair's Government "has been conducting counter-terrorism policy shoulder to shoulder with the United States, not in the sense of being an equal decision-maker but rather as a pillion passenger compelled to leave the steering to the ally in the driving seat."

Importantly it criticises this Government and previous Tory Governments for allowing London to become a magnet for foreign extremists and doing very little to counter it.

## » Indian Billionaire Gives New Labour £2m

Last month Lakshmi Mittal, the multi-billionaire Indian-born steel magnate, gave a further £2million to the Labour Party. He was at the centre of a political row in 2002 when it emerged that Blair had, the previous year, sent a letter to the Romanian prime minister supporting Mr Mittal's takeover of the country's nationalised steel company. This was two months after Mr Mittal had given a gift of £125,000 to Labour. Mr Blair explained this at the time by saying he had merely been performing the normal duty of acting on behalf of a 'British' business overseas.

## » Pakistani Governor Claims UK Housing Benefit

It was recently revealed that a prominent Pakistani politician was receiving £244 weekly housing benefit on a London property while living in a palace in Karachi. Dr Ishrat-Ul-Ebad Khan, who is the governor of Sindh province in

Pakistan, spent years receiving about £244 a week from the British taxpayer to cover the rent of the north London house he and his family once previously lived in. But the house was actually owned by his brother, who asked another man to put his name to the lease and mortgage.

When Dr Khan moved back to Pakistan in December 2002 to take up his governorship, he and his family continued to be paid UK benefits, including income support worth about £1,000 a month.

## » 'Courageous' IRA Leaders

Peter Hain, the Northern Ireland Secretary, has praised Sinn Fein's leaders Gerry Adams and Martin McGuinness (who are able to talk to themselves at IRA council meetings) as "courageous" and "visionary" during meetings last month with prominent Irish-American politicians in America.

Hain left his native South Africa as a young man when some of his associates were jailed for taking part in terrorist activities against the white government. As a student activist in Britain in the 1970s he was strongly anti-Unionist and "troops out" and praised the former IRA commanders. No wonder his latest utterances caused uproar amongst loyalist Unionists in Northern Ireland!

## » Cocaine On The Seats of Power

Systematic tests of 46 toilets in the European Parliament have revealed significant traces of cocaine on 41 of them, according to German TV magazine AKTE 05. The quantities found in MEPs' toilets imply regular use, according to the German TV show revealing the findings last month.

"Some of the quantities found there would have caused a police drug dog to respond", said pharmacologist Professor Fritz Sorgel from the Institute for Biomedical and Pharmaceutical

Research in Nuremberg, who analysed the samples. In one room the concentration was so high that there was only one possible explanation: Cocaine had been consumed there just before the sample was taken.

Commenting upon the apparent use of cocaine by MEPs, one EU insider said: "Given the stultifying boredom of committee work in Brussels, it is hardly surprising. But it could explain the decisions they come up with."

## » I'd Do It Again, says Muslim Murderer

The Muslim extremist who murdered the Dutch film-maker Theo van Gogh said in the Amsterdam court that he would do the same thing again if he were ever released.

Mohammed Bouyeri, a 27-year-old born in Holland of Dutch-Moroccan nationality, said: "I take complete responsibility for my actions. I acted purely in the name of my religion. I can assure you that one day, should I be set free, I would do the same, exactly the same." Earlier, Bouyeri had insisted that he did not recognise the authority of any non-Islamic court and forbade his lawyer to mount a defence.

This attitude of mind amongst the extremist minority of Muslims does not augur well for Europe, with the old parties' obsession with multiculturalism, and the Muslims' obsession with breeding.

## Identity

Editor: John Bean  
August 2005

Public reference:  
ISSN 1469-7971

Subscriptions Dept:  
PO Box 87, Ossett  
Wakefield, WF5 8WN  
Tel: 0870 75 73 267.

Please note that we receive more material than we can publish.

Articles should be submitted to the addresses below in typed/electronic format.  
PO Box 97, Newmarket,  
Suffolk CB8 1WT.

Email: Identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk.





# Time To Take Off

» When the result of the Cheadle Parliamentary by-election result was announced I felt more dejected than at any time within the past twenty years. The news of the murder of 56 London travellers by Muslim terrorists had dominated the media for a week yet the overwhelmingly white British voters of Greater Manchester's respectable Cheshire hinterland had voted for their status quo; the Liberal Democrats. This was regardless of the fact that the Lib Dems are committed to ending restrictions (weak as they are) on asylum seekers and dependants of other immigrants, are attracting many Muslim candidates as well as voters, are the leading practitioners of Political Correctness, and are even more left than New Labour.

To give just one, topical, example, in the Lib Dem controlled neighbouring council of Stockport every single primary school in the borough will be conducting Islamic lessons - where pupils as young as seven will be taught about the Koran, halal practices and the life of Mohammed. The idea came from Iqbal Sacranie, head of the Muslim Council of Britain (they're the nice moderates, remember) who said: "We want to ensure every school child in Stockport has access to high quality Islamic resources." In other words they want to proselytise our children as well as out-breeding us, and all with acclamations from the Lib Dems as well as New Labour.

I slept on the election result and then began to realise that the worthy Cheadle voters had no real alternative. As the BNP had not worked the area it did not put up a candidate. Leaving aside the Veritas candidate, who received a derisory vote, it was another failure by the Conservative Party to generate any confidence as a true alternative to New Labour that led to the Lib Dem victory. I realised also that many voters had noted that Blair's support for the invasion of Iraq had given a lift to al-Qaeda's recruitment, particularly among our own homegrown Muslims. Labour lost its deposit with many former Labour voters switching to the Lib Dems, who had opposed the Iraq intervention.

As described elsewhere in this issue the BBC, ITV and many, but not all, sections of the media went into overdrive immediately after the initial London bombings in reassuring us how law-abiding and peaceful the Muslim community really is. They told us how good the bombers were at school, loved their cricket and that their parents insisted they had only gone to Pakistan to visit relatives. From the photographs the media displayed we were given the impression that most of the dead were from 'ethnic minorities' including many Muslims. The distortions of the truth that took place were part of the campaign to defend the multi-cultural myth. For example, 38 of the 56 London bombing victims of July 7<sup>th</sup> were white British and European, including three Polish girls. Only three were Muslims.

## The wake-up call

The attempt to bring more death and destruction to London's underground on July 21<sup>st</sup> was only prevented because of the deterioration of the explosive in the haversack bombs. This, together with the fact that at long



last the Met had allowed its officers to shoot to kill when dealing with terrorists, can now be seen as a 'wake-up call' to British voters, including those at Cheadle, that we are now paying the price for the old gang parties' pursuit of multi-culturalism and that those who spoke out in the past against the policy of mass immigration were right in their contention that it would be divisive for our nation, setting one section against another.

The fact that this can now only benefit the BNP is shown in the way that the media plays every trick to avoid mentioning the BNP, unless it can try to suggest that it is somehow attempting to stir up the white electorate in towns and cities with large Muslim communities to take physical, as distinct from electoral, action against them.

As we go to press there are already reports of minor scuffles between whites and Muslims in Burnley, Leeds and several Yorkshire towns, where some young Muslims are openly talking of waging an Intifada against the establishment. If this evolves into full scale rioting it is not of our making. In fact it will retard our chance that the current situation has given us of really taking off in electoral strength.

## Our Economy On The Brink

Another factor that could contribute to the BNP's 'take-off' factor is that all the signs are now showing that there is an imminent threat to our economy. Unemployment is rising and sterling, once the strong currency of the West, faces a dramatic slide, having already fallen 10 per cent in value over the past three months. As Alan Goodacre and the BNP's other economists have shown, consideration must now be given to our withdrawal from the global capitalist economy and protecting our shrinking industrial base before it is too late. We must emphasis that this is again where we offer something different to the Lib-Lab-Con gang.

**John Bean**





» Nick Griffin analyses the impact of the 7/7 Massacre



## All is Changed, Utterly Changed

» The burnt and broken bodies have been laid to rest. Rivers of ink have been spilt by liberal journalists trying to explain how the long-awaited explosion of Islamic terror on British soil came not from wild-eyed Algerian 'refugees' but from the cricket-playing boy who worked in the corner chippie and the teacher friend of the local Labour MP. So is there anything left to say, apart from "we told you so"? Yes, we need to consider what it all means for the future.

The first thing to understand is that, although the casualties of the 7/7 Massacre and the follow-up attacks were a tiny fraction of those of 9/11, the long-term impact of the London bombings on British politics is likely to be even greater than that of the destruction of the Twin Towers on the United States.

This assessment is based on three enormous differences between the attacks on London and on the eastern seaboard of the USA. First, the terrorist murders here were carried out by 'home-grown' Islamists rather than outsiders from halfway around the world. Second, although America is even more 'diverse' than Britain, her immigration problem is overwhelmingly from mestizo and black Christians, whereas the largest single block among those who 'enrich' our country is Muslim. And, third, the initial outrage has already turned out not to be a one-off, but part of a campaign to which - despite the fact that there will

surely be lulls - there is no end in sight.

Those three facts taken together mean that, while Americans' perception of the Clash of Civilisations is and will remain a struggle between them and assorted foreigners who live thousands of miles away, here in Britain the Clash will increasingly take on the characteristics of a civil war - a conflict not between mismatched armies and militias in distant deserts, but between angry neighbours on familiar streets. For the USA, the Clash of Civilisations will primarily involve the loss of a steady stream of wealth, for us here in Britain it can only end in the loss of rivers of blood.

There are some who regard the idea of a Clash of Civilisations as little more than a hoax - a propaganda scam dreamt up by neo-cons and pro-Zionist intellectuals in the United States as a way of stampeding the

masses into abandoning their freedoms and agreeing to pour their taxes and the blood of their sons into an endless war to make the Middle East safe for Israel.

There is, of course, a great deal of truth in the accusation that the political donations and the media clout of the Zionist lobby in both the USA and the UK was used to encourage Bush and Blair to invade Iraq, and is still being used to push them into attacking Iran next. Likewise, there is no doubt that our masters will seek to exploit the terrorist threat to accrue to themselves more powers to repress legitimate dissent and to control the population.

It is also true beyond the shadow of a doubt that the growth of Islamic fundamentalism is inextricably linked to Arab and broader Muslim resentment against the Western powers' decades of foreign policy bias







# The Stranger Within My Gate

## - Rudyard Kipling

*The stranger within my gate,  
He may be true or kind.  
But he does not talk my talk -  
I cannot feel his mind.  
I see the face and the eyes and the mouth,  
But not the soul behind.*

*The men of my own stock  
They may do ill or well.  
But they tell the lies I am wanted to,  
They are used to the lies I tell.  
We do not need interpreters  
When we go to buy or sell.*

*The Stranger within my gate,  
He may be evil or good,  
But I cannot tell what powers control -  
what reasons sway his mood;  
Nor when the Gods of his far-off land  
May repossess his blood.*

*The men of my own stock,  
Bitter bad they may be,  
But, at least they hear the things I hear  
And see the things I see;  
And whatever I think of them and their likes  
They think of the likes of me.*

*This was my father's belief  
And this is also mine:  
Let the corn be all one sheaf -  
And the grapes be all one vine  
Ere our children's teeth are set on edge  
By bitter bread and wine.*

towards the state of Israel.

Despite what the professional anti-Semites believe, however, there is more to it than that. On the 'Western' side there is the hard practicality of guaranteeing access to the Middle Eastern oil that makes up more than half the world's remaining supplies, and which underpins the debt-based superstructure of global capitalism.

On the Islamic side as well, the conflict has much deeper roots than the competing claims of Arab and Jew

for a patch of fly-blown desert and a couple of holy sites at the far end of the Med. Nor is it just a matter of the religious imperialism which has lain at the very heart of Islam since its foundation. After all, despite several long expansionist periods in its long history, Islam has also known quieter, introspective phases when its impact on the outside world was minimal.

The key problem is that it is not in one of those quiet stages now. The Muslim world is in the middle of a

fundamentalist religious revival every bit as strong as, and in many ways similar to, the Calvinist Protestantism that swept 17<sup>th</sup> century Europe and as far as the American colonies. This Islamic revival undoubtedly gains strength from anger at the treatment of the Palestinians, but does not arise from it.

The Wahhabi sect, for example, which dominates Saudi Arabia and finances a high proportion of radical Islamic missionary work around the world, was founded in the mid-18<sup>th</sup> century. A sort of Muslim version of Puritanism, its followers captured Mecca in 1803 and were busy smashing the tombs and monuments of Islamic saints more than a hundred years before Herzl and his fellow Zionists began to manoeuvre and bribe the Western powers into backing the establishment of the state of Israel.

The Muslim Brotherhood, founded in Egypt in 1928, can be seen at least partially as a reaction to European imperialism, and its founder Hasan al-Banna is widely recognised as having been influenced by Western philosophers and Leninist strategy as much as by his own reinterpretation of the Koran. But equally clearly his ideas also predate the big Zionist push to seize Palestine, and the Israeli question was only one of the grievances seized upon by his successor Sayyid Qutb, under whose posthumous influence the Muslim Brotherhood has grown into another key source of Islamic fundamentalism, including a strong influence on Osama bin Laden.

So while the present US/UK Establishments' love affair with Israel is one of the major irritants which radicalises young Muslims and makes them susceptible to fundamentalism, the underlying clash between East and West predates the problem and - as long as large numbers of Muslims live in the West and Western consumerism and troops occupy the East - would outlive even the destruction of Israel.

One final piece of evidence for this comes from one of those nowadays Politically Incorrect quotes from Winston Churchill which can be found be fishing in the liberal intellectual memory hole. Writing in 'The River War', his account of the 1898 campaign in the Sudan included the following wisdom from personal experience:





"Individual Muslims may show splendid qualities. Thousands become the brave and loyal soldiers of the Queen: all know how to die. But the influence of the religion paralyses the social development of those who follow it. No stronger retrograde force exists in the world. Far from being moribund, Mohammedanism is a militant and proselytising faith. It has already spread throughout Central Africa, raising fearless warriors at every step; and were it not that Christianity is sheltered in the strong arms of science - the science against which it had vainly struggled - the civilisation of modern Europe might fall, as fell the civilisation of ancient Rome."

Just over a hundred years later, military science still keeps the armies of the West almost as far ahead of those of Mohammed as they were when my grandfather's eldest brother got a spear in the arm during the "industrial scale" slaughter of the Mahdi's army at the Battle of Omdurman which ended the River War. But unfortunately, the Islamists' ability to wage the guerrilla war of terrorism has leapfrogged over our ability to resist it.

Worst of all, the mass immigration that Churchill later in life spoke out against but failed to do anything about, has brought the militant, 'retrograde' force that is Islam into the very heart of the West. Having nearly been killed in a 'fuzzy-wuzzy' ambush in the Sudan, the young Churchill naturally focussed his attention on the spreading of Islam by spear and gun in the Middle East. If he were alive now he would surely be more concerned about maternity units in London and Birmingham, and what is being taught in our schools.

It is the fact of mass Muslim immigration into Western Europe in general and Britain in particular, coupled with the collapse in the white post-Christian birth-rate, which makes it absolutely inevitable that the final and most crucial chapter in the history of the Clash of Civilisations will be written here, and within a generation.

However much Blair and Sacranie and the BBC prattle on about 'moderate' Islam, it is a basic principle of history that, at times of religious ferment and under the kind of societal stress imposed by globalisation and growing resource scarcities, hard-liners invariably sweep 'moderates'

into the litter bin of history. Especially, incidentally, when many of the 'moderates' are in any case only simulating that position in order to lull the other side into a false sense of security, as laid out under the Islamic tactic of *al-Taqiya*, which allows devotees to simulate softness and weakness in order to buy time to strengthen their position relative to the kuffars.

Thus we see, as clearly as anything in the future ever can be seen, the grim details of the vision of which Kipling and Powell could only glimpse broken fragments through the mists of time; the ancestral Gods that "repossess the blood" of so many immigrants will be just one God, Allah, and the rivers that foam with blood will include the Clyde, the Aire, the Ribble and the Thames.

### SIMMERING TENSIONS

And since 7/7, and the days of simmering tensions that followed it, everybody knows it, however much the political elite pretend otherwise. A shocked article in the *Daily Mail* on 18<sup>th</sup> July admitted what every journalist who has gone to talk to young British-born Muslims knows - though most of their stories have been 'spiked' on account of a mixture of Political Correctness and superstitious fear of the sympathetic magic by which talking about a problem is childishly believed to cause it.

Having gone to talk to the second

generation Pakistanis on the streets of the Leeds suburb that produced two of the first suicide bombers, *Mail* reporter David Jones revealed:

"The words I heard from the new generation of Muslims in Beeston were, in every sense, shocking.

"Hearing their vigorously anti-British establishment sentiments, and the miserable life experiences upon which these feelings are based, I felt as if I had been transported to some strange foreign land.

"Here was a bitterly riven and discontented country that had emerged unnoticed by those who live outside its shabby confines; one utterly irreconcilable with the northern England where I was born, and which I thought I knew so well."

The shaken *Mail* man reported that the young British-born men to whom he spoke talked openly of the riots of 2001 being "the sign of things to come all over Britain" and concluded that:

"The one thing we can state with any certainty is that, in Leeds - as, we must suppose, in many other cauldron towns and cities, the great multi-cultural experiment appears to be failing disastrously."

The same conclusion was obviously reached even by our PC-handcuffed intelligence services, who must be the source of the warnings about future Islamic militancy that have prompted a hush-hush but widespread upgrading of police stations in many northern towns.





Under the guise of routine extensions and refits, these are systematically being provided with easily defensible central strong-points, somewhat akin to the keeps in mediaeval castles.

If that was deemed necessary before 7/7, how much more so is it today? For the tube and bus bombings didn't just kill a few score unlucky commuters, they also finished off the last traces of credibility for multi-culturalism. The most pervasive and destructive myth of the second half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century is dead.

Over the coming months, we will see politicians and media pundits rowing back from the wreckage of all things multi-culti as fast as they can. That's not to say that they'll drop their commitment to genocide through mass immigration and miscegenation, for those cows are too sacred to be killed - by the old political elite, that is, though their days are numbered.

A key part of the impossible dilemma our liberal masters now face is the impact of their coming switch from multi-culturalism to an integrationist model of multi-racialism. Instead of everyone (except the English) being encouraged to celebrate their different cultures and values, everyone is going to be encouraged to shoehorn themselves into a one-size fits all multi-coloured tolerant liberal Britishness.

### STICKS & CARROTS

Their problem is that every carrot given to the Islamic community to further this process will be seen by many of them as a further sign of weakness ("we bomb them and they give us even bigger handouts and make their children visit our mosques"), while every stick that is used will anger Muslims and increase the grip of radical Islamism on their young people.

Furthermore, the very idea that Muslims should and can fit into a secular liberal democracy is so against the core tenets of the Koran that those 'moderate' BBC-licenced Muslim spokesmen who go along with the Establishment's propaganda charade will be regarded as Uncle Tomi apostates by an ever-growing proportion of the Islamic 'street'.

The government drive to bribe and push Muslims into 'fitting in' is therefore doomed. Giving them huge



Not an anti-US march in Iraq or Afghanistan, but an anti-BNP march in Beeston, Leeds

handouts and special favours won't wean them away from extremism.

After all, the first four suicide bombers included individuals who had received EU and council grants to set up youth centres, who drove around in daddy's Mercedes, and who had been wined (well, maybe not) and dined at the House of Commons, while close family members had been honoured by being received by the Queen at Buckingham Palace for their efforts to encourage racial harmony.

Clearly their decision to become suicide bombers had nothing to do with 'alienation' and 'exclusion', so self-evidently throwing millions of pounds into tackling such perceived problems will not stop other young hotheads following their example. It will, however, infuriate millions of non-Muslims who don't get similar handouts but who will get the extra tax bill.

The other ingredient in the witches' brew of hate and disaster which is bubbling up in those "cauldron towns and cities" of which the *Daily Mail* spoke is the rapidly building reaction of huge numbers of young Muslim men to the problems which the bombers have brought upon their communities. We know that the police still wear kid gloves and tread on eggshells when they patrol Muslim areas, but to already disaffected Muslim youth it is all looking very different.

They see armed unbelievers in paramilitary riot gear smashing down the doors of Muslim families and surrounding and searching mosques. They experience massively increased levels of stop-and-search activity. Day after day they are angered by newspaper headlines and TV reports that they regard as biased and 'Islamophobic'. They hear of Asian college students who have got on a bus only to find that all the other passengers promptly get off it. They

fear that the order "shoot to kill suspects" could mean them. And they are alarmed by supposedly 'responsible' spokesmen like Shahid Malik MP telling fairy fantasy stories about 'BNP marches', and by reports of white racist plots to attack mosques and desecrate copies of the Koran.

The tension building as a result of these consequences of the early attacks will inevitably lead to violent clashes between large numbers of young Muslims and the police, and perhaps their white, black and non-Muslim Asian neighbours as well. It will be those clashes - involving the youth of entire Islamic communities armed with bricks and petrol-bombs, rather than tiny numbers of fanatics with high explosive - that will really mark the final catastrophic failure of the multi-racial 'experiment'.

Can anything be done to stop it all unravelling in this way? Not by the liberal elite, no. Their time is almost up. The only question is what will come after them:

A long, sporadic civil war, in which demographics and perpetual outside reinforcement give the long-term advantage to those who would Islamify not just their own communities but in the end the whole of Britain and 'Eurabia'?

Or a British nationalist upsurge which, despite all the lies and repression unleashed by the dying liberal consensus, provides people like us with the power needed to bring about a complete disengagement between the Muslim world and the West?

This is the only peaceful solution. Our troops, cultural influence and economic adventurers must be withdrawn from the Middle East and Asia. And those who would Islamify our European Christendom must in turn leave our shores - every last one of them.





# The Man Who Founded the BNP:

## John Tyndall - 4<sup>th</sup> July 1934 - 18<sup>th</sup> July 2005

» John Tyndall, former Chairman of the British National Party, died in the early hours of July 18<sup>th</sup> at his home in Hove. He is believed to have suffered a massive heart attack, and was found by his wife in the morning.

John Tyndall was twice Chairman of the National Front (in its heyday in the 1970s) and was the Chairman of the British National Party and its predecessor organisation, the New National Front from 1980 - 1999.

For more than a generation, our country has been infected with cowardice. Strong men who should have played a part in resisting the destruction of our nation have been struck dumb and impotent. Few have been willing to stick their necks out to maintain any pro-British political party. John Tyndall was one of the few to do so. Without John Tyndall, it is doubtful whether the British National Party would even be in existence as a party to join.

There are two aspects in a political campaign - making sure it continues in existence in the first place and choosing tactics which offer the best chance of success. Without doubt, John Tyndall succeeded in the first - and only those who have been involved in British nationalism for many years have any real idea of just how much of an achievement that was. But he clearly did not find the same success in the second.

Tyndall's courage was legendary and his stamina undoubted. He was a fine writer with a tremendous grasp of written English, and an outstanding platform speaker. Nevertheless, John Tyndall's extremist associations in his early life dogged him throughout his political career. In addition, the same 1930s mindset left first the National Front and later the British National Party under leadership with an outdated strategy which alienated public support.

This fact was recognised by the wider party and culminated in a change of leadership in 1999. As a result the pro-authoritarian BNP of John Tyndall was replaced by a new BNP, very committed to the fact that the problem with a Britain run by the liberal elite is not too much democracy, but that it is not democratic enough. Unfortunately, John Tyndall opposed such changes. Nevertheless, while we have had our disagreements with John Tyndall over matters of ideology, strategy and tactics, we respect the man, his courage and recognise that without him, there would be no British National Party today.

His book, *The Eleventh Hour*, written while he was in Wormwood Scrubs for Race Act thought crimes was a significant influence on many individuals who went on to play a significant role in the BNP.

While having no regard for Establishment newspapers, John Tyndall read the *Daily Mail* every

day. The day before his death he would have read in it an article containing dire warnings from young British-born Pakistanis in Leeds that the 7/7 Massacre was a step on the road to civil war in Britain. The author concluded that "in Leeds - as, we must suppose, in many other cauldron towns and cities - the great multi-cultural experiment appears to be failing disastrously." The journalist claimed that "no one could have envisaged" this turn of events.

That is a grotesque lie, for John Tyndall was among those who did, and spent a lifetime trying to warn his fellow Britons of the approaching danger. For that he was demonised for decades by the media and political elite. Indeed, he died just two days before he was due to appear once again in court in Leeds on two charges







of "incitement to racial hatred" arising from undercover filming by the BBC.

John Tyndall leaves a wife, Valerie - who he met through their common involvement in the National Front in the 1970s - and a daughter. For all our past disagreements with John Tyndall, they have our most sincere sympathy in their loss.

## John Bean Gives Some Personal Memories of 'A Great Patriot'

» John Tyndall was part of my life. I first met him in February 1957 when I was organising public meetings for Lesley Greene, the League of Empire Loyalists candidate for the North Lewisham by-election. A. K. Chesterton, founder of the LEL, told me: "A serious but bright young man called John Tyndall has just joined us and I want you to take him under your wing."

I did so willingly and for a year or so I could have been described as his mentor. Therefore, I suppose I must take some responsibility for the problems he was to create for the Nationalist cause in later years! I can recall forcing him onto a 'soap box' platform in Bethnal Green to see if he would become a speaker. As we know, after time he became a first rate, forcible speaker, even if one could criticise some of its content!

Although he was headstrong and argumentative from the start, which led to clashes of temperament between us, his personal manners and courtesy were impeccable, particularly on the social occasions when my wife and I would take our two children round to the South London home of his charming parents for the ritual of that period of the Sunday afternoon tea. We would also discuss the relative merits of Wagner and Beethoven. In later life the music of Beethoven became even more important to him, which hardly fits the 'thug' image presented in the recent national press obituaries.

In April 1958 I left the LEL with a few other members and was supported by John Tyndall in the formation of the National Labour Party. He was not happy with this title, but supported it nevertheless for two years. Significantly, he wanted to call our embryo nationalist movement the National Socialist Party. For obvious reasons I strongly

opposed this. The NLP reached its apogee in the 1959 General Election with Bill Webster, the Parliamentary candidate for St. Pancras North, obtaining 1,670 votes around 5%.

The following year I made the great mistake of amalgamating the NLP with Colin Jordan's White Defence League to form the first British National Party (my choice of title). This gave John Tyndall an ally who turned out to be even more extreme than he was in looking backwards to 1930s politics. When the duo finally tried to make the Mark One BNP openly supportive of many aspects of Hitler's National Socialism 1962 saw the parting of the ways, with John Tyndall making his tragic mistake of the Spearhead Group uniforms nonsense and going off with Jordan to found the National Socialist Movement. The ever stubborn Tyndall eventually saw the political stupidity of the steps he had taken and left Jordan's orbit. His subsequent writings in his *Spearhead* magazine showed that he wished to distance himself from his Nazi juvenile past, but the damage had been done.

In 1965 we made private contact again to explore the idea of trying to unite the growing, but splintered, right-wing and nationalist forces in Britain. To my surprise he had already spoken to A. K. Chesterton on this matter. A meeting was then called between the three of us from which the idea of a 'National Front' was born, with John Tyndall and myself initially taking minor roles. The rest is history.

Being seven years older than John Tyndall and having been active since 1951 and lost two jobs because of my politics, I got to like playing a minor role and accept the criticism of some for doing so. John Tyndall must be admired for showing greater tenacity and staying in the forefront of the struggle for British sovereignty for nearly fifty years. He was undoubtedly a great patriot but unfortunately his authoritarian attitude put as many people off as it gained recruits.

✓ In 1995 I felt that as the tide of opinion was now coming our way I must use my remaining years, and experience, in doing something for the nationalist cause. I had kept occasional contact with John Tyndall over the years and wrote to him about my decision. It did not take me long to realise that this old leopard, for whom I had considerable respect, had not changed his spots. I know his pride was hurt because I decided to support Nick Griffin. John had not learnt that politics is the art of the possible.







# Homosexuality Should be Tolerated but not Celebrated



» **Tim Heydon says that few people today would disagree that if homosexual people want to engage in same-sex relationships, that is their affair. But the liberal left does not want same sex relationships simply to be tolerated, but for homosexuality to be 'celebrated' and homosexual relationships to be accorded the same standing in society as heterosexual ones. Is this reasonable?**

» At the level of Man as an organism, the function of a human animal is to reproduce, to ensure the continuation of its genes. The mechanism which our kind has evolved to bring this about is sex between a male and a female. It follows that any sexual activity which is not conducive to this end is redundant. Homosexual activity cannot result in offspring. Since indulging in it would represent a waste of valuable energy and time, to ensure efficiency evolution has brought about an instinctive response towards it in most human beings. That instinctive response is one of a feeling of repulsion by a person with regard to same-sex advances and to indulging in same sex-activity.

These feelings are part of what it is to be human. They are of the same kind as our feelings for other sexual activity which is non-productive of offspring and which is therefore socially taboo - sexual relations with the dead, with animals, with young children below the age of puberty, with the very old, with inanimate objects. The Old Testament says that homosexual behaviour is 'an abomination to the Lord,' because that is exactly how people feel about it. These feelings and taboos stand beside others calculated to ensure healthy normal

offspring, such as those regarding incestuous relationships, or having sex with the sick.

The natural attitude of individuals towards homosexuality is not however uniform. It varies with individuals and with circumstance. Individuals of one sex may not be particularly disturbed by witnessing homosexual behaviour in the other, because feelings of repulsion are not aroused to the extent that they would be if their own sex was involved. Men may dislike same-sex activity between women but some are indifferent or find it sexually titillating, and while many women do not like homosexuality in males, some find 'gays' charming, caring, entertaining and good company.

## UNDERLYING PITY

At their best, when the limits of relationships between homosexuals and heterosexuals are strictly defined as non-sexual, there may be mutual respect, liking and friendship - almost normalcy, in fact. Even then, however, there is always at the least an awareness of difference. Underlain perhaps by pity.

And of course, the sex drive is very powerful. If there is no normal heterosexual outlet for it in situations

such as military service, boarding school or societies where access to the other sex is restricted, instinctive repulsion and the concomitant social taboos may be overridden for some people. Though normally heterosexual, they may then engage in homosexual acts, or indeed in other taboo activities.

Why does homosexuality exist? Whole libraries have been written on this subject. What seems certain is that the absence of a strong male role model, such as is most likely to be found in a normal family, can have a deep influence on boys, some more deeply than others according to predisposition. Womb environment may be a factor. There is evidence that intrauterine stress produces fewer boys than girls, and it may also encourage homosexuality. A harsh early environment may also be conducive to the condition. The evolutionary function may be to ensure that when the means of survival are in some way limited, signalled by the absence of a providing male, stress in the mother or very harsh early life conditions, the production of non-breeding offspring helps the survival of genes. The fact that there are fewer potential male breeders is offset by the fact that more resources are available



to the offspring of others and their providers.

We are confronted then, with an activity in individuals which nature, for good reasons to do with their success in breeding, has arranged to be naturally repellent to most people most of the time, yet is in some cases at least encouraged by a condition which is outside of the control of these individuals. How should society deal with these individuals in these circumstances? It is fair to say that society has a habit of taking healthy, natural inclinations in normal people and reinforcing them into rigid rules of behaviour which are tyrannically oppressive, because they deny reasonable choice. This has certainly been the case in the past with its attitude to homosexuality. To come to a more balanced view, it is best if we use as a model the example of some other instinct in society which carries less ideological baggage and see how society deals with it.

Aggression too is necessary for the health of individuals and of society - it is necessary for an individual's survival and provides drive. But society limits aggression for its own good. At the same time, it limits the provocation of aggression. A sensible attitude to homosexuality is surely to adopt a similar *modus vivendi*. Homosexual activity should surely be tolerated if people wish to exercise their reasonable personal choice to indulge in it, but not be allowed to force its presence on people.

Homosexuality should not as it were go naked in the world, but should cover itself in the clothing of normality. Least of all should the law require people to accept homosexuals and the homosexual lifestyle as socially equal in every way. To do so is to ask them to deny their basic instincts and represents a gross denial of their freedom and personal choice. It asks too much of them.

Man is a social animal. Since as an animal a human's primary function is to reproduce, the function of society must be to help that process

succeed. Successful reproduction involves not just having offspring but ensuring that they grow to sexual maturity and are capable of successfully reproducing. Society is valuable to an individual insofar as it performs this facilitating role. The most favourable social and cultural arrangements are those which best serve the individual and the individual's offspring in this way.

It follows that society's rules must be conducive to the individual's successful reproduction. Therefore society and religion have in the past encouraged the Family as the best means to get children and to rear them safely to successful adulthood. In a normal heterosexual family, sexual activity between the man and woman not only produces children, but helps to bind the relationship to ensure the stability necessary to raise them and to provide for them mentally, physically, emotionally and spiritually. A child has sex role models and learns rules of behaviour, attitudes and other aspects of culture which

should go to make it a stable, happy and well adjusted adult capable of successfully rearing children in its turn. Any aspect of society which undermines such a process runs counter to its primary function and is therefore malign.

### LEFTIST SOCIAL AGENDA

The peculiar malignity of the demand of the liberal left that homosexuality be accorded parity of esteem with heterosexuality must be viewed in the wider context of the left's social agenda, which in the interests of equal treatment and individual rights requires divorce between sex and procreation, flying in the face of the function of sex and of our deepest natures. The result is a series of policies and attitudes which are profoundly anti-life. These policies have greatly contributed towards the steep decline in our birthrate, to the point that we are no longer reproducing ourselves - the stamp of people and a society which are failing in its primary functions - and provides an excuse for mass immigration, the final act in the civilisational suicide of the 'me' generation. The attack on the family, which is the cradle of procreation as the focus of male 'oppression', grossly exacerbating the effects of unrestrained capitalism, is linked to the feminist promotion of the singles, anti-procreation lifestyle of which homosexuality, if it is of choice, is an aspect.

The assumptions of Marxism







**The Family is the best environment to raise children**

and Marxist atheism that material good is the only or the main good undermines the urge to reproduce as people can see a reduced point for themselves in continuing their bloodlines and the desire for children is weighed in the balance with the cost of having children, and found wanting.

#### ANTI-LIFE AGENDA

The malign anti-life nature of the liberal left agenda is the reason why so much of what we are required to do by them feels so distasteful. People at work and elsewhere are, without any choice in the matter, being asked to accept close proximity with blatantly and aggressively homosexual people without any demur and are stigmatised as 'homophobes' if they don't like it, even if being with them and the thought of what they do together makes an individual's flesh crawl and is condemned by their religion.

Homosexual couples are being given legal rights akin to those of genuine marriage even though this must undermine the family, the bedrock of our society. In a genuine obscenity which is true evil, they are being given the right to adopt, even when such role models must distort children emotionally and work against their chances of growing up as well adjusted heterosexuals who can reproduce successfully - their most fundamental function.

The Christian Church is in the process of allowing openly homosexual clergy, so that people are to

receive a message of holiness or communion from individuals who are sinful in the eyes of traditional teaching (because they have sex necessarily outside marriage) and who must necessarily engender feelings of repulsion, if they think about them at all, which they will. Children are taught in the schools that homosexuality is just another lifestyle choice, and this is the message constantly pushed upon us by the liberal left, especially the BBC and the rest of the media.

The liberal left will not be able to enforce equality of treatment and esteem for homosexuality against the grain of human nature, but it is trying, and its attempt is tyranny. Forcing individuals to accept this activity means that the door is flung open to the other taboos which stand alongside it - bestiality, underage sex, incest and so on. The defendant in an American court case who was accused of having sexual relations with his dog claimed that, because he had a 'loving' relationship with the animal, who seemed to enjoy or at least not to mind its owner's sexual attentions, society should have no role in the matter.

Viewers of Channel 4 have been treated to a programme dealing with individuals whose 'lifestyle choice' involved having sex with the dead. What were once healthily known as 'Child Molesters' are now cosily spoken of by the BBC as 'members of the paedophile community.' The age of homosexual consent has been reduced to 16, while the police close

their eyes to sexual relations between young teens, which will lead to acceptance of sex between such emotionally immature children and older people.

In the name of individual rights and equality, our society is being propelled towards a world where wholesale sexual degeneracy (degenerate because it is inimical to its health) is not just tolerated, but encouraged. This is the world of the Marquis De Sade, the herald of modern liberal attitudes towards sex, who regarded morality as an invention and advocated unbridled sexual licence as a means of destroying it and the Christian culture of society. And what is worse, tolerance for this degeneracy, instinctively repulsive to most people, is going to be legally enforced in the name of individual rights and equal treatment.

Homosexuality is proclaimed by the 'gay' lobby and the liberal left who represent it as merely a life style choice, as valuable and as to be esteemed as any other. It is not. It is a desperately sad, abnormal, psycho-sexual condition which as a relationship malfunction has something in common with aspects of autism. Those who suffer from it are condemned to live for ever on the margins of human existence, at best tolerated by people who pity them.

The stridency of the 'gay' lobby betrays its appreciation of this fact. Homosexuals can have no loving relationship with the opposite sex which, while accepting difference transcends it, can have no normal family relationships to deepen and round their characters, no children to love and care for and who can cherish them; no expectations for the future, except perhaps a lonely old age. Because homosexuals' sense of themselves, their social and political outlook is stunted, they must of necessity lack that full sense of the ongoing stream of life - of one generation linking with the past and passing on to the next, which is so necessary for a rounded personality, political and social realism and a healthy society.

Charity and respect for reasonable individual choice demands that homosexuals should be left to get on with their lives unmolested. The same considerations should be accorded the rest of us.




# Racist Murder and Pressure Group Politics

## Racist Murder and Pressure Group Politics:

*The Macpherson Report and the Police*

CIVITAS



**£7 (inc. p&p)**

Norman Dennis  
George Erdos  
Ahmed Al-Shahi

INSTITUTE FOR  
THE STUDY OF  
CIVIL SOCIETY

» *Racist Murder and Pressure Group Politics* explores the events that followed the murder of Stephen Lawrence in 1993 and scrutinises the inquiry that ensued under the chairmanship of Sir William Macpherson.

The book describes the events of that fateful night whilst outlining in each chapter how the enquiry primarily sought to show evidence for an institutionally racist police force. In fact it becomes apparent that the death of Stephen Lawrence is almost subsidiary to the enquiry's main intention. This being the claim that 'institutional racism' pervaded the entire Metropolitan Police and British Society as a whole. The evidence in this book blows open all the political motivations of this enquiry. There are visibly clear extracts from the report which raise many questions over how it was conducted and the sweeping inaccurate conclusions that it makes.


The book is structured so that it analyses the main contentions that were used as evidence in the enquiry, such as the treatment of the family, racial stereotypes and the conduct of all parties to the incident. It also examines the political uses of disruptive anti-racism and how the orthodox rhetoric exerted in the enquiry followed a traditionally Marxist perspective.

The Macpherson Report is widely regarded by those who have not read it as having 'proved' the existence of racism in the police and in British society. However the authors of this book provide evidence by unpicking the proceedings to reveal the real dangers of allowing the agenda of public inquiries to be dictated by one set of special interest groups.

# Last Flight of Eagles: The Making of England

## LAST FLIGHT OF Eagles

the MAKING of ENGLAND



**£10 (inc p&p)**

a NOVEL J. POMFRET

» This is an adventure story set in the period of change and conflict following the break-up of the Roman Empire. It is also a cautionary tale for those who see their culture being replaced by another or their nation taken over by newcomers.

The novel is set in the early Saxon period, at the time when Roman rule in Britain was coming to an end. The events are seen through the eyes of two soldiers who arrived in the British Isles as Anglo-Saxon incursions were beginning, Marcus Germanicus, and his mentor and guide whom he meets during his initial journey to Britain, Galarius.

Many of the events and battles actually took place, many of the characters were real people and their exploits were recorded by the chroniclers of the time. The men ruling the island are featured; Ambrosius Aurelianus Dux Britanniarum, the last of the Roman rulers and his unpredictable rival Vortigern, the high king of the Celts. Hengist the leader of the Germanic tribes is also a major character. This is the story of the three-way fight which took place for the mastery of the British Isles.

Both of these books are available from  
Excalibur, PO Box 116, Leeds, LS27 9WW.  
Please make cheques payable to 'Excalibur'





# Irresponsibility From the Ivory Towers

» Nick Griffin exposes the media's rolling campaign to present the BNP as a danger to peace between the Muslim community and the native British.

» "In Dewsbury today, members of Christian and Muslim faiths are staging a peace rally. But there have been persistent rumours that the British National Party, which counts West Yorkshire as an electoral heartland, was planning a march in the town, seeking to exploit tensions."

So said *The Independent* on July 18<sup>th</sup> in an article typical of the latest stage in the carefully choreographed media response to the 7/7 Massacre. According to the papers, broadcasters and Labour spokesmen like Shahid Malik, Britain's Muslim community is quaking in fear under a wave of Islamophobia orchestrated by the wicked BNP.

Unfortunately for the media, there is no evidence of this, hence the need for the 'Indy' and others to give credence to lies about proposed BNP marches. Such rumours could be stopped dead in their tracks if only the papers would point out that the BNP gave up holding marches at least eight years ago.

As for the allegation that we are trying to "raise and exploit tensions", much would be done to defuse tensions if the media would give to the BNP's recent and repeated calls for calm just a fraction of the coverage they have granted to similar requests from Muslim spokesmen.

After all, assuming that there really are only 1.6 million Muslims in Britain (and isn't it odd how the suggested number has dropped through the floor since 7<sup>th</sup> July? Infact the real figure is far closer to 3 million.), then that would mean that there are something like 1 million Muslims eligible to vote in this country. That, coincidentally, is the number of people who voted for the British National Party last year. According to the BBC's own guidelines, this means that the views of BNP spokesmen should be heard just as much as those of Muslims. And if we were allowed to be heard, appeals for calm from the BNP would have a far, far bigger effect on angry whites than the self-serving pleas of heavily accented and bearded Muslims

## OUR NON-EXISTENT THREAT

No doubt the editors and journalists - and the Government planning committee which came up with the plan of response to terror which is now being implemented - think they're being very clever when they build up a non-existent "BNP threat" and describe the white backlash as an organised plot rather than the inevitable but disorganised response of isolated hotheads. Such talk offers them four big propaganda benefits:

1) It 'proves' that the threat to our society doesn't come from Muslim extremists, but from white extremists as well.

SHAHID MALIK: Fairy stories about mythical BNP marches



This allows them to deflect public attention from the failure of their multi-cultural experiment onto just one of the symptoms of that failure;

2) Those mythical white extremists can be blamed for radicalising young Muslims in the first place (no need to dwell for long on that unfortunate incident involving the British political establishment helping to murder half-a-million Iraqi children in a ten-year blockade of essential supplies, and then flattening almost every hospital in that unfortunate country). After a week in which Muslims had been in the spotlight for terrible crimes, normal service was resumed as soon as possible - it's the fault of those wicked whites after all;

3) It is creating for the British state the climate of opinion in which the wave of raids and arrests of young Muslim extremists which might soon prove unavoidable could 'legitimately' be balanced by a matching round-up of white 'extremists';

4) It provides a wonderful excuse for the intolerant and totalitarian instincts of New Labour to be unleashed against the most outspoken critics of their multi-cultural project, and against the white working class communities which have displayed a stubborn and reactionary refusal to appreciate the benefits of Labour's brave new diverse Britain.



In fact, apart from its basis in lies, this campaign has only one drawback: It is helping to turn an already tense country into a powder keg of racial tension, and then tossing in lighted matches. The irresponsibility of the Establishment propaganda machine in winding up the "white backlash" story beggars belief. Do these fools have any idea of the dangers involved in what they are doing? Just in case they do not, let's spell them out.

### ENCOURAGES YOUNG MUSLIM MILITANTS

Every time some Labour MP or media hack talks up the 'white extremist' threat and never-to-be-held 'BNP marches', groups of seventeen and eighteen-year-old Muslims on street corners all over the country pick up on the tales and believe them to be true. How do the 'liberals' think this makes them feel?

Well, in case you lack the commonsense to work it out for yourself, I'll tell you. It makes them frightened for their families. It makes them angry. It makes them start planning their own local Intifada against 'Crusader aggression'. It makes them gather stocks of half-bricks and the materials for petrol bombs.

Of course, the BNP won't come marching down their street, but sooner or later, somewhere or other, some anti-terror police, or a lost plasterer and his mate with short haircuts in a white Transit, will turn up, and some such tiny spark will reach the powder keg.

Only this time, if a Bradford or an Oldham or a Burnley goes up, it might not stop at that. This time, the tension you could cut with a knife isn't just in a few deprived estates in a few northern English towns. This time, there are dozens, literally dozens of places just waiting to blow Glasgow, Dewsbury, Colne, Preston, Manchester, Halifax, Burton, Stoke, Dudley, Birmingham, Leicester, Luton, London, Portsmouth, Bristol .... how many more do they want? Such is the strength of feeling and the mutual loathing out there that, if it does kick off, in addition to the obvious places there will be mayhem even in small towns that most people have scarcely even heard of.

And how the hell do those who are piling up the tension with their lies think that a police force that was almost overwhelmed by the isolated and staggered troubles of 2001 could begin to cope with a dozen Bradford riots going off at once?

More than a year ago, the then-Home Secretary David Blunkett referred to race relations in Britain as "a coiled spring". That is now a massive understatement. Britain is now one huge unexploded bomb of racial, religious and

communal tension. If it goes off, it will be Belfast, 1969, multiplied a hundred-fold

It is time for the Government and the media to recognise this, and to stop the anti-BNP, anti-white demonisation campaign that is pouring more explosive fuel onto stability's funeral pyre with every passing headline.

Members of the British National Party have been out distributing hundreds of thousands of leaflets urging the majority community to lay the blame for 7/7 where it truly belongs: With the politicians who created the failed multi-cultural 'experiment' in general, and with the Blair government in particular.

They are the ones who gave up control of our borders, who have allowed unprecedented levels of immigration to destabilise community cohesion, who allowed our capital city to become 'Londonistan' and turned Britain into a giant terrorist safe-house.

They - with a little help from their brown-nosing PC police chiefs and their 'moderate' placemen on the MCB - are the ones who have allowed the Hamzas and the Bakris and the HuT fanatics to preach and spread their gospel of hate. They are the ones who have turned so many essentially tolerant Britons into angry cynics who have given up voting, withdrawn from the political process, and who cheer when they hear that someone has attacked another mosque.


By putting out those leaflets urging angry whites to blame the politicians, rather than ordinary Muslims - and to join us in peaceful political work to change those politicians - we in the BNP are in fact providing the only realistic safety valve for the tensions that have been building up for years, but which have been brought to boiling point by the 7/7 Massacre and subsequent events.

We are not winding anybody up; the anger is already out there. We are trying to channel it into constructive, positive, constitutional outlets; before it is too late. And we are the only people trying to do that. That is why, while state-directed pseudo-gangs like the Nationalist Alliance are calling for marches and confrontation, our activists have been out day after day working to bring hope of change through the ballot box, and thereby ease the despair that sees salvation in bricks and petrol bombs.

Those journalists and Establishment commentators who are seeking to demonise and shut down the BNP safety valve - taking our words out of context and attributing to us thoughts, aims and tactics that we simply do not have - are playing an unbelievably dangerous game. Either they are ignorant of the real and potentially fatal tensions on the streets, or they simply don't care about what happens out there in the real world.

We do, because we know those streets. Our people live on those streets, and we don't want to see them go up in flames. But there is now a very real risk that Britain's unexploded race-bomb will go off, and all those lies about the British National Party could all too easily be the detonator. My message to the multi-cultural lie-mongers is simple:

Stop! Before even more innocent people have to suffer on the bloody altar of your failed Utopian fantasy. Stop! Before your chatter from your ivory towers turns ordinary, decent, working class streets into war zones. Stop! In the name of God, stop!



The real cause of the Bradford riots - the ANL





# 'Oldest Civilisation' Find is a Blow to Multi-Cultural Propaganda



» We are indebted to the BNP website ([www.bnp.org.uk](http://www.bnp.org.uk)) for this important report on June 14<sup>th</sup> that a new discovery suggests a European civilisation predated the Pyramids by 2,000 years.

» A series of stunning archaeological discoveries in Germany, Austria and Slovakia are rewriting our understanding of history. The new finds are the final proof that, in terms of establishing recognisable civilisation, far from early Europeans being thousands of years behind the Middle East, we were in fact the first in the world.

The oldest civilisation has been unearthed by the discovery of more than 150 gigantic earth and wood monuments - believed to be temples rather than forts. And far from being poor copies of monumental architecture already established in Mesopotamia and Egypt, as was once wrongly thought about Stonehenge and similar sites, the European temples were built 7,000 years ago.

According to a report in *The Independent* (11/6/05) their dates of between 4,800BC and 4,600BC make them 2,000 years older than the Pyramids, and 1,800 years earlier than Uruk, the earliest city discovered in the Middle East.

The most complex site excavated so far is in the German city of Dresden. It consisted of an apparently sacred inner area surrounded by two palisades, three earth banks and four ditches. Each of the sites appears only to have been used for a few generations. Almost all are exactly the same size with each of the ditches having been made by removing the same volume of earth. Such facts indicate a well-connected population over a 400-mile swathe of Stone Age Europe, either with identical beliefs or a common corps of sacred architects.

The people who built these strange places lived nearby and had lived in the area concerned for centuries. They were pastoralists, rearing large herds of cattle, sheep,

goats and pigs. They made tools of stone, bone and wood, and small ceramic statues of humans and animals. They also made geometrically decorated pottery and lived in large longhouses in substantial villages. One such village and temple site near Leipzig had around 300 people living in 15 - 20 large communal buildings.

These discoveries deal perhaps the final blow to the old, Victorian, theory of '*lux ex Orient*' - 'light from the East' - which wrongly held that civilisation emerged in the Middle East and gradually filtered westwards as European barbarians slowly copied the innovations of Sumer, Egypt and the other civilisations from the 'Fertile Crescent'.

## PRACTICAL CONSIDERATION

It does remain true that the first real cities appear to have developed in the Middle East, but to equate city with sophisticated culture is wholly unsound logic. There was, in any case, one simple and overwhelming practical consideration which made it inevitable that the first cities would spring up in hotter climates than Europe - the availability of fuel energy.

To live in the damp, foggy, winter-cold climate our ancestors knew required large quantities of firewood. The difficulties of cutting this with stone or bronze tools in particular meant that while an advanced culture could be - and clearly was - established which linked related communities over hundreds of miles, each settlement within the civilisation had to be small enough to allow enough fuel to be gathered 'by hook or by crook' rather than by logging large trees.




Life in the Middle East, by contrast, required far less heating fuel, and the hotter and more reliable sun meant that large herds of animals could be relied upon to produce most of the fuel needed for cooking. To this day, of course, sun-dried animal dung provides cooking fuel for many more isolated peasant communities across parts of the Middle East and Asia. This energy problem is the simple, overlooked, factor determining where city life could first be established.

The key remaining mystery about the new, and as yet unnamed, European Stone Age temple culture is why it seems to have vanished after only about 200 years. As far as we know at present, it was then another 3,000 years before structures of a similar size were built in the Bronze Age - although when they did appear the first Bronze Age 'henges' of earth and wood appeared very similar to their ancient predecessors.

On the question of historical continuity, it is worth noting that the area briefly covered by this ancient European culture is almost identical to the territory known thousands of years later as the cradle of the Celtic culture that provided the bedrock for all later Western European civilisation. (See the series of articles in *Identity* in issues 32-38, 2003 by Nick Griffin on the history and culture of the Celts - Editor.)

But whatever happened to the Stone Age temple culture, and whatever its builders did once it vanished, the fact remains that these new discoveries have buried



Middle Eastern civilisation - several thousand years later

forever the old story that our ancestors were running around in skins and grunting until those clever Middle Easterners showed us how to do things properly.

The reality is that our direct ancestors built the oldest true culture yet discovered in the world, by their own genius and sweat, right in the heart of our Europe. This is a fact that demolishes not just old archaeological theories but also all sorts of contemporary multi-culti fantasies and propaganda.

# NHS Privatisation Is Plan Cloud-Cuckoo Economics

» A report has just been released by the Thatcherite Adam Smith Institute, entitled *Road Map to Reform: Health*, advocating privatisation of the National Health Service. This would normally just amount to Tory fantasising, except for the fact that New Labour has clearly been hoping to privatise the NHS by stealth for some time now. This is made abundantly clear by such actions as the government's pledging a billion pounds for private health-care providers to assume some of the work of the NHS.

» So it is necessary to review, once again, the reasons why socialised medicine is not just a hallmark of a decent society, but economically rational as well. If one leaves behind capitalist-romantic theories about private-sector efficiency and looks at real-world privatised medicine, which may be observed in America, it is an obvious disaster. It is vastly more expensive and delivers mediocre results outside of luxury care. Britain spends about 1/3 (!) the money per person and we have public health statistics roughly equivalent to America. Except for the fact that the bottom 1/4 of our population is vastly healthier.

This means that privatisation will either raise the cost of health care, or cut into the amount provided if expenditure is held constant.

The public-private mish-mash proposed by the Institute, in which private health care companies would provide care, but be paid by the government, is a recipe for the worst of both the free-market and socialised worlds.







The fundamental problem is that medicine is *different*, viewed from an economics point-of-view, from almost every other industry. Normal free-market efficiencies simply don't work in medicine for a long list of reasons, beginning with:

1. Medicine has, almost uniquely among industries, what economists call a negative utility of consumption. When the population eats more steak, we assume people are better off. But a man who spends half his life in hospital is *worse off* than one who doesn't. Free markets tend to maximise production, and this is exactly what the Americans get: vast production of medical goods and services, but only about as much *actual health* as we get. Being healthy is not identical with consuming lots of health-care!
2. Free markets depend upon consumer sovereignty. But the consumer can't be sovereign when he doesn't know what he's buying or why, due to the need for expert knowledge which only the person selling the commodity in question (the doctor) has.
3. The market for medical care, when you have profit-seekers providing it and either the state or insurance paying for it, has third-party payment, so the consumer doesn't pay, removing the discipline of the decision-maker bearing the cost. This is why under free-market medicine, inflation in the medical sector has outstripped the economy as a whole for decades.
4. Medicine is (to some extent) a collective good, as when controlling infectious disease for free keeps costs down for everyone.
5. For moral and political, i.e. non-economic, reasons, we as a society want universal care, while we don't care whether everyone gets a colour TV. So even if the pure economics worked - which it doesn't - free-market medicine still wouldn't make sense!

Privatisation is almost certainly a scheme to spin out profits to corporate friends of the people proposing it. Labour likes it as a way to buy the affection of more of the

private sector for its variety of corporation-friendly post-socialism. It is the product of making free markets an ideological fetish, valued for their own sake, rather than a tool to be used when the empirical evidence shows they are effective.

## GLOBALISING EFFECT

The globalising hand of the World Trade Organization (WTO) may also be discerned. Under the so-called General Agreement on Trade and Services (GATS), the WTO makes no secret of its long-term desire to privatise all possible public services, and eventually even open them up to foreign competition. This would mean the ludicrously inefficient health care corporations of the USA would have to be given a 'level playing field' to compete for business in the UK. The Blair government's Private Finance Initiative is an attempt to pave the way for this day by contracting out health services, which can then of course be contracted out to any provider.

One doesn't have to be an ideological-committed socialist to see this as a bad thing. So before anyone asks: yes, the privatisations of the '80s were a good thing (mostly) and the old-fashioned socialist dream is deservedly dead. But the NHS isn't British Steel. Other successful nations don't generally have state-run steelworks. All industrialised nations except the USA do have socialised medicine, including the economic superstars of East Asia. There's a very good reason for this.

The most objective way to assess the NHS is to compare it to health care in other nations. The most accurate description of the NHS today is "spartan". The UK spends less money on health than other nations as a percentage of GDP. In 2001, for example, Sweden spent 8.7%, France 9.5%, Germany 10.7%, and America a mind-boggling 13.9%. We spent 7.6%, and remember that Germany, Sweden, and the USA all have higher per-capita GDP than we do, so their absolute spending levels are even higher! Source and chart:

<http://www.gao.gov/cghome/hccrisis/img11.html>

Naturally, we get what we pay for. We have decent, though not world-leading, public health results in terms of basic measures like life expectancy:

<http://www.gao.gov/cghome/hccrisis/img37.html>

On infant mortality, another key indicator, we have better results than Ireland, Italy, New Zealand, South Korea or the USA, and our rate of 5.22 deaths/1000 live births is not much worse than Germany, which spends much more money to achieve 4.22. Source:

[http://www.geographyiq.com/ranking/ranking\\_Infant\\_Mortality\\_Rate\\_aall.htm](http://www.geographyiq.com/ranking/ranking_Infant_Mortality_Rate_aall.htm)

Why is the NHS relatively cheap?

1. It's a socialised system. The money wasted by bureaucratic government incompetence (which is very real) is still much less than what would be tacked on for profit if hospitals etc. were privately owned, plus the cost of administrative fragmentation and having a vast system of private health insurers and providers.

2. It has no-frills hospitals and other facilities. Hospitals in





other countries have more private rooms and fancy devices like CAT scanners.

3. Discretionary treatments, i.e. treatments that don't save lives but improve the quality-of-life of the ill, are kept under control by either not being available at all or being available only after a long wait. This isn't pleasant if you're the one hobbling about on crutches waiting, but it does save money.

4. The cost of medicines, the fastest-growing element of health-care costs, is regulated in the UK by limiting pharmaceutical-industry profits. See these charts: <http://www.newsbatch.com/hc-medprices.html>

5. Doctors are decently-paid, as befits a difficult and responsible job, but medicine is not a "money career" the way it is under a capitalist system, where it's just another job, not a calling. (Medicine is labour-intensive, so wage costs are its single biggest component). Our doctors make less than those in most European nations. Source: <http://www.pnrec.org/2001papers/DaigneaultLajoie.pdf>

6. The UK has relatively fewer doctors per-capita than other nations. We have 2.1 per 1000 population. Holland has 3.1, Germany and France have 3.3 and Italy has 4.4. Source: <http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/3/62/31938359.pdf>

7. Our nurses are probably under-paid, as shown by the fact that the government needs to recruit them from abroad. (Our numbers of them are, however, above the average of other developed nations.)

8. Malpractice lawsuits and other money-hunting by lawyers are kept on a tight leash.

9. The NHS is not hyper-aggressive in its use of technology. This saves money, though it sometimes takes its toll, as for example in poor British cancer-survival rates.

Real reform of the NHS is needed but privatisation is a counter-productive step based on hidden agendas and capitalist romanticism about free markets being always the right solution. It would not work for the NHS.



## British National Lottery: Trafalgar Bicentennial Draw

**This draw commemorates a very special anniversary and has a very special related top prize; a limited edition stool made from oak taken from Lord Nelson's HMS Victory - when the ship was put into dry dock in 1928- for the Trafalgar Bicentennial Draw. Only 200 of these stools have been produced and its value as a collector's item is expected to rise in the years to come. It is inscribed with Nelson, 1805, Victory, 2005 (one on each side) has a brass plate verifying its origins.**

The draw date is September the 24th and tickets are available now. Members automatically received a book of ten in their July *British Nationalist* but extra copies can be ordered by calling 01463 793 298 or e-mailing [bnl@bnp.org.uk](mailto:bnl@bnp.org.uk) (UK resident non-members may also order quantities in the same way).

Please support the BNL draws by selling tickets to friends, family and work colleagues. Remember we are selling an idea not just a lottery ticket! Any group or person who sells 1,000 tickets will get 10% back for their local BNP group after the draw has been completed.



The Family First RWB Draw that took place on 25th June. Congratulations to our winners and thank you to all who took part, helped sell tickets and promote the draw. Special thanks to those who provided the prizes.

1, £500 cash - Mrs Davis, Inverness. 2, Professional Family Portrait Photographic Session- K Cockroft, Halifax. 3, £100 cash - A Mee, London. 4, Last Flight of Eagles book i, Ian Tomkins, Liverpool ii, Sarah Sturch, Burntwood, Staffs iii, Susan Laidler, Paisley, Renfrewshire iv, D Harcombe, Llandeilo, Wales v, Mr J Hall, Telford, Shropshire 5, One Year Sub VoF & Id - BJ Hance, Birmingham





# John Bean's Nationalist Notebook

## Who Will Protect Our Soldiers?

In the April issue editorial we dealt with the politically correct pursuit of the three soldiers who were imprisoned and discharged from the Army for ill-treating Iraqi looters. Whilst condemning their actions, it was pointed out that certain elements of the Army's top brass, including General Sir Michael Walker, had readily given way to charges of murder and other alleged crimes in Iraq being brought not only against the three fusiliers but up to 50 other soldiers. The trial of the first 'batch' of victims of political correctness, including Col Mendonca who was 13 miles away from the alleged murder of a suspect Iraqi terrorist, is under way as we write.

It should be noted that six former chiefs of the defence staff spoke in the House of Lords to denounce this development. They accused politicians, lawyers, the Ministry of Defence and the military police of ignoring the realities of fighting. Recently retired Admiral Lord Boyce, said that the Armed Forces were under "legal siege". He added: "They are being pushed by people not schooled in operations but only in political correctness."

Eleven soldiers are being tried by courts martial, including three who are standing trial under international war crimes legislation for alleged inhuman treatment of detainees in Iraq. Remember that it was Tony Blair who committed us to the authority of the left-wing International Criminal Court Act and it was his appointee, Attorney General Lord Goldsmith, who has let all the eleven cases go to trial - as well as approving the prosecution of Nick Griffin and Mark Collett.

We also have to look at the role of the Special Investigation Branch (SIB) of the Royal Military Police, which is now feared throughout the Army because of its use by the civil legal authorities to prosecute cases against soldiers serving in action. The SIB has moved away from its conventional role of criminal investigation, e.g. misappropriation of public funds, theft of valuable stores, etc. Now, its concept of 'crime' includes offences against human rights legislation as defined by the International Convention on War Crime and, of course, anything smacking of racial prejudice. One may well ask if they have been trained by Sir Ian Blair of the Metropolitan Police!

As a *Daily Telegraph* editorial put it on 22.07.05: "No one is suggesting that soldiers who mistreat prisoners or civilians should escape legal penalty. Equally, however, no one should suffer legal penalty because the Government seeks to demonstrate to its international partners, particularly to the legal panjandrums of the European Court, that it is marching in step with current legal fashion."

Let us not forget that it was Blair's sycophantic support of Bush's invasion of Iraq that got our soldiers in this mess in the first place. No wonder trained soldiers, NCOs and junior officers are leaving the forces in droves. Just as rank and file police officers, many of them BNP supporters, are leaving the force because

their effectiveness has been stifled by political correctness.

The BNP would stand by our forces, including ensuring that they had the best equipment available. We need them - not for foreign adventures that flatter Blair's ego as a world policeman, but to protect our shores and our very infrastructure from enemies without and those now showing themselves within.

## ID Cards Would Not Have Stopped the Bombers

There are two points that have not been made elsewhere in this issue in the reports on the Muslim terrorist attacks in London.

One is that the close parallel with the Madrid train bombings again suggests that ID cards would have had no restraining affect whatsoever (Spain had ID cards at the time of the Madrid massacre and still has). Yet, almost certainly because of the further control it will give over our movements, the Government is still committed to their introduction.

The second point is that although the terrorist attacks in Britain since the days of the IRA have all been committed in the name of Islam, not all of the terrorists are of Pakistani or Arab origin. Like Richard Reid the shoe bomber, the fourth 7/7 bomber, Jermaine Lindsay had Jamaican origins and both became converts to Islam. Richard Reid, now doing a life sentence for attempting to blow up a transatlantic passenger jet with his shoe bomb, was born in London of an English mother and a Jamaican father. Lindsay, whom we are told "was really nice", was born in Jamaica and came to England when he was five. He converted to Islam when he was fifteen.

The tragedy does not end there. Lindsay established a relationship with an English girl, Samantha Lewthwaite, whose father is a former regular soldier, and they have produced one child and she is expecting another. Will her mixed-race offspring suffer the conflicts of so many in Britain today of not being able to identify with either race's culture and therefore develop an antipathy towards the host community in which she/he lives and become a susceptible convert to terrorism?

## The 2001 Census Fiddle is Rumbled!

The April issue of *Identity* published the result of an extensive investigation by Clive Wakley which showed that the 2001 Census had fiddled the figures on who exactly was indigenous white British. Clive showed that they had included all manner of people who claimed to be in this category, ranging from Peruvian Indians to Albanians and Czech Gypsies.

The Office of National Statistics must have read the *Identity* article because Clive now finds, as a result of further phone calls, that their old data base for the 2001 Census has now been removed and replaced with a





new one. Clive informs me: "The new one is hard to find, but with the assistance of a helpful soul at the ONS I managed to find it and guess what! The 'masking formula' that I said was being used to distort the statistics has been dropped and the previously missing 'white other' group has been included, so that it now conforms to source data."

What this means is that at the time of the Census at least six London Boroughs had a white minority, and not two as reported. Furthermore, we can now blow the "immigrants only make up 9% of the population" myth right out of the water. The adjusted figures (thanks to our contributor's work) show that at least 14% of the population was non-British. But the bad news is that was nearly five years ago and we have received at least 1.5 million immigrants since.

### Half A Million Illegals and the Rest!

Of this growing immigrant population it was admitted by the Home Office early last month that there are between 310,000 and 570,000 illegal immigrants in the country. Labour's hypocrisy on immigration figures is emphasised when it is recalled that just prior to the May elections Blair said it was impossible to put a figure on the number of illegals.

Furthermore, when an independent academic, Prof John Salt, of the Migration Research Unit at University College, London, suggested before polling day the true figure could be about half a million - equivalent to the population of Sheffield - he was shot down by Labour Ministers. Now it is clear that Prof Salt was in helping the Home Office to come up with the figures that it has only decided to make public now that the election is over. In fact newspaper reports of his findings were circulating a month before the election.

The latest figure does not include up to 772,000 asylum seekers whose applications are being processed or who have launched an appeal.

Sir Andrew Green, the chairman of Migrationwatch UK, said: "Having denied it vigorously before the election, the Government now admit the number of illegal immigrants is approaching half a million. Even this looks like a considerable underestimate with little allowance made for illegal entrants and those who overstay visas."

### Where Are The Defenders Of The Christian Faith?

In Britain today more people go to the Mosque on a Friday than Anglicans go to Church on a Sunday. At a time when our cultural, social and religious identity is threatened by a faith that demands submission to its own interpretation of culture and political thought, we need a new "defender of the faith". Unfortunately there is no Charlemagne, no Richard the Lionheart, no Polish king John who saved Vienna from the Muslim Turks, to speak up for the Christian culture of Britain and the West. All we have are Bishops and Archbishops who speak weasel words intended to placate moderate Muslims but which are interpreted as weakness and

only give encouragement to those who are determined to achieve Islam's declared aim of the Islamification of the West.

*Daily Telegraph* contributor Mark Steyn described the Church's attitude succinctly when he wrote:

"Consider the Bishop of Lichfield, who at Evensong, on the night of the bombings, was at pains to assure his congregants: 'Just as the IRA has nothing to do with Christianity, so this kind of terror has nothing to do with any of the world faiths.' It's not so much the explicit fatuousness of the assertions so much as the broader message it conveys: we're the defeatist wimps; bomb us and we'll apologise to you. That's why in Britain the Anglican Church is in a death-spiral and Islam is the fastest-growing religion."

The head of the Anglican Church, the Archbishop of Canterbury, is in the same mould as the Bishop of Lichfield. Now that Downing Street has named Dr Sentamu, the black Bishop of Birmingham, as the Archbishop of York, the second in the Church's hierarchy, are we likely to see any change? A former Uganda high court judge, he is strongly "anti-racist" and was the driving force behind the Macpherson report.

### Economy On The Brink

Reference is made in this month's editorial to the signs that Britain is facing an impending economic crisis, as is the United States and the West in general. This is the kick-back from global capitalism that has brought megabucks for financiers whilst our means of production have been increasingly exported to the East. The British people have been distracted from what has been going on by being encouraged to live for the present, thereby disengaging themselves from the political system: hence the low election turn-outs.

The resultant boost in credit means that the average family today owes £19,000 on credit cards, with some having little chance of ever paying it back. And 80 per cent or more of what they have purchased has come from abroad, particularly China.

All this has led to a slide in the value of sterling which could further threaten the economy's stability. Paul Dales at consultancy Capital Economics says slower economic growth and falling interest rates will push the pound 10 per cent lower by the end of next year, but a drop of up to 40 per cent cannot be ruled out.

With both nations being tied to the global capitalist system, we should be warned by what is happening to the United States. Each month the US government's own statistics tell the tale of the US winding down as a superpower and devolving into a Third World country. Not a single net new high-tech or manufacturing job has been created for native-born Americans in the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

A report from Richard Freeman, professor of economics at Harvard University, which suggests that the US is not only losing its lead in science and engineering but also might be losing the professions themselves. A country that outsources its manufacturing and its R & D abroad (mainly to Asia) doesn't have jobs for its own engineers and scientists. Britain take note!





# Speakers' Corner

PO Box 97, Newmarket, Suffolk, CB8 1WT, England. E-mail: [identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk](mailto:identitymatters@yahoo.co.uk)

## LETTER OF THE MONTH

### >> Old Soldiers Now Fight For Britain

*Identity* readers may be interested to know of my small part in the recent VE parade in Birmingham. The day began with a wreath-laying ceremony at the Hall of Memory, followed by a two minute silence. Then the parade started in Victoria Square, from which we then marched to St. Philip's Cathedral for a Service of Commemoration.

It was while I was at the Commemoration that I thought back to my comrades I left behind in the Western Desert, Italy and France. I feel sure that these brave and gallant men would now stand "shoulder to shoulder" with me in the BNP and once again fight for Britain!

The motto of my old regiment, the Irish Guards, is *Quis Separabit* "Who Shall Separate Us". For me this pretty well sums up the British National Party: "Who Shall Separate Us"!

**Patrick O'Sullivan**  
Ex-Irish Guards  
Birmingham

### >> The Internet and Terrorist Attacks

I sent the following letter to local Birmingham newspapers six months ago, but it was suppressed. In the light of the, mass murder arising from the London terrorist attack I would think it has become even more relevant:

"Many regular users of the internet at Birmingham Central Library have grown increasingly upset by the preferential treatment given to asylum seekers on the internet terminals at the expense of people born in this city. I am not the only one who has complained, nay almost pleaded, with staff for fair play, only to be told that staff allow people to use them for as long as they like. As well as showing the prejudice of the library management this is also irresponsible and dangerous in a time when we are repeatedly told that terror attacks are inevitable.

"For this preferential treatment given to these people may well make it easier for the terrorists to communicate with each other and is therefore putting innocent members of the wider multiracial community at risk of being maimed or killed. I say

this in view of the nationalities and/or origins of those who have been arrested in other towns and cities who were about to execute terrorist attacks.

"I recently saw a group looking at Kurdish sites in Arabic. I have been told by the Head of Library Services that these are picked up by the library's 'Firewall' filtering system, but I am very sceptical. It is immoral to allow preferential treatment to a group of people and not care about other people who wish to use the services. However, to allow unknown people to use sites in Arabic for most of the day unchecked is not only foolish, it is encouraging possible terrorist attacks."

**David Morris**  
Birmingham

### >> Phonics and Reading

I agreed with almost everything in the June issue article *Education: a Mother's Perspective*. But in regard to phonics, this is the way most people over 50 learned to read, and was common practice until the 'reforms' of the seventies. It was not

invented by a professor of some pseudo-science at one of our 'Micky Mouse' universities, as the BBC and others would have us believe!

'Look and say', often illustrated with pictures and other 'modern' methods, may work for short simple words such as dog, cat, etc, but are of no use for longer and more abstract words. Also, you need to know your alphabet by heart if you are to use the dictionary to find the correct meanings and spellings. Times tables are a great help too. Perhaps grandparents could help here?

Most over-fifties had a much better education than their children, and men who did apprenticeships usually needed good maths. Unfortunately this generation is modest about its achievements - or should be! The term 'synthetic phonics' has been invented to obfuscate rather than clarify. Its main function is to elevate some know-it-all academic who wants to make a name for him/herself.

**Marina Smethurst**  
Cardiganshire

### >> The £millions Wasted In Africa

Now that the Live 8 circus is but a fading memory, it is worth looking at what happened to just a few of the £millions that Bob Geldorf helped raise for the long suffering Africans from ordinary British people's contributions twenty years ago.

Of the projects audited in sub-Saharan Africa, no fewer than 75% of all agricultural and aquacultural projects were found to have failed. A tragic/comic example of the inefficient use of our donations, was the financing by the Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO) of a fish farm in Kasinthula, Malawi. The centrepiece of this project was located next to a bird sanctuary which resulted in Malawi boasting the largest fish eagles and kingfishers



throughout the continent of Africa.

In Ethiopia's Awash Valley, Afar nomads have been displaced from the land to make way for a hydroelectric dam whilst they are now reduced to absolute penury, their dignity destroyed as they queue in rags for hand-outs.

Untold amounts of your money were spent ferrying Charlton Heston, Harry Belafonte, Edward Kennedy and yea verily Sir Bob Geldorf himself, in executive jet comfort, around the African continent to observe and comment. The Board of Governors of the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund, spent \$10,000,000 on one annual conference lasting just a week. This would have supplied vitamin A tablets to 47 million at risk children for a year.

Instead of cancelling World Debt we should make the politicians, broadcasters, millionaire pop stars and other parasites who make a living portraying the misery of the have-nots, pay the debt out of their inflated incomes. If there is any shortfall they can make up for it in community service.

**Peter C. Lucas  
Torquay**

### We Do NOT Need Immigrant Labour

Many people who are concerned about immigration are still accepting the erroneous argument that "We need their labour". This idea has been planted in the public mind by the repeated assertions of the great and good.

A prime example was reported on June 16<sup>th</sup> when Rebecca Clarke, adviser to the Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development, stated that most employers were struggling to fill vacancies and some three-quarters had employed migrants on permanent contracts. "This shows", she said, "how crucially important it is for the UK economy that the government does not unduly restrict the supply of labour with any new legislation to limit immigration." I doubt if she has much to fear from the present regime.

On the same day it was reported that unemployment in the UK is increasing and that nearly 900,000

are in receipt of the job-seekers allowance. A further three million who are not registered as unemployed would like a job but cannot get one. There is, of course, a mis-match between the needs of employers and the skills and location of the unemployed. The right answer would be re-training and redeployment but it is easier and cheaper for employers to take on migrants, invariably at lower wages. They are readily obliged by a government of "one worlders" determined to eliminate Britain as a traditional European society.

A broader perspective on all of this was provided by Peter Brimelow writing mainly on immigration into the United States in an article for *The Spectator* on June 4<sup>th</sup>. Here are some of his key comments:

"Big political donors . . . want cheap labour. Politicians of all parties give it to them. Both are engaged in a predatory attack on American workers. . . . The economic evidence is clear: neither the U.S. nor Europe needs immigration. It continues because it benefits powerful special interests, and because it feeds into pathological elite anti-racism on both sides of the Atlantic."

**John Bell  
Slough**

### Where Are Traditional Trade Union Values?

Having watched the building of "The Sage" music and conference centre at Gateshead it was interesting to see that one of the first groups to hire the place was Unison's "National Black Members" conference. Were we informed about better wages, shorter hours, secure employment, health and safety and so on? No, the only mention in the local press covered such items as racism, xenophobia, the holocaust and "right wing scare-mongering over immigration". None of this has anything to do with traditional trade union values and everything to do with intimidation ordinary patriotic British people and trade union members.

In April of this year, 300 local North East Asian firms ranging from hoteliers and bar owners to lawyers and car wash owners set up their

own Asian Business Forum in Newcastle to create more opportunities amongst themselves. Am I missing something, or does it appear that patriotic white people and associated groups are tagged as bad if they try to work together, while ethnic people and their organisations are to be encouraged, even at the expense of our own people and our children?

**John Aspinall  
Sunderland**

### Need For Military Service

In your June issue report on some of the ideas discussed by British National Party 'thinkers' I was somewhat alarmed to read: "The majority opinion was that this mainly precluded service in the armed forces because today the emphasis is on technical expertise and there is little requirement for the conventional soldier of the mass armies of the early 20<sup>th</sup> century."

Never has there been a greater need for our youth to be given military service. They are crying out for it. There may not be a need for a conventional soldier in the 20<sup>th</sup> C sense, however, National Service was also about training young men and instilling discipline, backbone, self respect and respect for fellow Britons.

Ask any one who has completed service and they will speak of the comradeship borne from the hardship and suffering they got through together on that service. It is this strange bond that develops that has allowed the thin red line to hold together down the centuries when all seemed lost and our backs were against the wall. Time and time again British military history is full of these stories.

The great hardships I have overcome would never have been achieved had I not had instilled in me a sense of determination and respect for myself that the Army gave me. I may not have liked much of what I went through, but I am deeply grateful for the memories and what it did for me.

**Pete Hanbury  
E-mail**





# Nelson's Childhood

» This report appeared in Issue No.2 of the Trafalgar Club Newsletter and was written by Sandra Gibson, an Ayrshire TC member. The Trafalgar Club, with a membership fee of £15 a month, is the BNP's fund-raising dining club. Its dinner planned for the 200<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the Battle of Trafalgar, October 22<sup>nd</sup>, will be something not to be missed.

Membership details from TC Secretary, PO Box 14, Welshpool, SY21 OWE.

» Horatio Nelson was born in the village of Burnham Thorpe in Norfolk on the 29<sup>th</sup> of September 1758. Nelson was the sixth of eleven children including two older brothers, Maurice and William, one older sister, Susannah. Later born were his younger brothers, Edmund and Suckling (Nelson's mother's maiden name), and two younger sisters Ann and Catherine.

Approximately three miles from the Norfolk coast, the village of Burnham Thorpe was originally one of a group of seven villages, which were prefixed with "Burnham". Nelson's father, Edmund, was rector of the parish of four of the villages - Burnham Thorpe, Burnham Ulph, Burnham Sutton and Burnham Norton.

The village of Burnham Thorpe was a remote and peaceful location, and as a child Nelson could often be found on the high ground above the village, captivated by watching the wide variety of sea-going vessels - galliots, busses, hoys, brigantines, sloops, wherries, bilanders, cutters and snows. And then there was the labyrinth of inland waterways of Norfolk, around which Nelson could often be found.

Nelson himself professed to having been born in Parsonage House, attached to Burnham Thorpe's church, and lived there until the age of fourteen, when he left the family home to join the navy. Parsonage House (or The Rectory) was some three quarters of a mile from the church itself, but came with several acres of glebe land. It was situated close to the river Burn, from which the seven villages took their names. Razed to the ground three years before Nelson's death, Parsonage House was composed of two small houses or cottages of different size and age. A two-storied, L-shaped building, its roof was covered by the red, fluted roof tiles which can still be seen in the area to this day.

Burnham Thorpe's All Saints church dates from the thirteenth century, and its chancel houses the remains of a knight who died on Christmas Eve 1420. The font in which Nelson was baptised still lies in the church. When Nelson's father, Edmund, was Rector in the late eighteenth century, the era of Hanoverian Kings saw church buildings fall into disrepair and neglect.

During the 1790's All Saint's was damaged by lightning and falling masonry damaged the South Aisle. It was rebuilt around 1820 but fell into the same sorry state as before by the end of the century. However, after an appeal by


the Admiralty and the then Prince of Wales in 1890, it was restored to its former glory in time to commemorate the first centenary of the Battle of Trafalgar in 1905.

## RELATIVE OF LORD WALPOLE

Nelson was baptised at All Saints Church on the 9<sup>th</sup> of October 1758. His name, Horatio, came from a relative of his mother's - Lord Walpole - who became Horatio's Godfather. However, Nelson did not like the name and became known as "Horace" throughout his childhood. When witnessing a ceremony at his father's church, Nelson signed the register as Horace, later this was amended by his father.

Nelson's mother Catherine, died on Boxing Day 1767 and the family was deeply affected by the tragedy. Exactly one week later the children also suffered the death of their grandmother. The family was visited by their mother's brother, Maurice Suckling. Suckling was a naval captain who had achieved some notoriety of his own in the Seven Year War, and with no children of his own, vowed to do his best to help in the upbringing of the Nelson children.

In November 1767, shortly before his mother's death, Nelson followed his older brothers to the Royal Grammar School in Norwich, a fourteenth century foundation within the cathedral close. The Nelson boys lived in Norwich during term time, although they did have relatives there whom they were able to visit, but after the death of their mother and grandmother, the boys transferred to the Paston School in North







Walsham, which was closer to Burnham Thorpe.

Two vestiges of Nelson's time at Paston School remain there today. The first is a brick in the school wall with the initials "H.N.", the second a pencil box originally owned by Captain Hardy, and purchased for the school from Christie's in 1932. Nelson's schoolroom has also been preserved, and includes the school song, which was written in 1907.

At the end of 1770, Edmund Nelson spent some time in Bath, recovering his health and strength, while the Nelson boys were on their Christmas holiday from school. The boys read in a newspaper that their Uncle Suckling had been appointed captain of the *Raissonable*, which had been recommissioned with a view to a war with Spain. The *Raissonable* had been taken from the French some twelve years since, and had kept her old name.

The boys were enthralled to read of their Uncle's appointment, and this inspired Horatio to ask his brother: "Do, brother William, write to my father at Bath, and tell him I should like to go with my Uncle Maurice at sea." Duteously, William wrote to their father, and eventually the Rector, who himself was not in good health and still had seven children to place, replied: "What has poor Horace done, who is so weak, that he above all the rest should be sent to rough it out at sea? But let him come; and the first time we go into action, a canon-ball may knock off his head, and provide for him at once."

### AT SEA AT THIRTEEN

Although rated in the *Raissonable's* log from January 1771, Nelson did not in fact receive his summons until March of the same year. Horace was escorted by his father's servant, Peter, to Lynn, where Horace was met by his father and together made the journey to William

Suckling's mansion in Kentish Town, London. The two finally parted in the yard of the inn where the coaches left for Chatham. The six-hour journey provided Horace with plenty of time to reflect upon his choice of career.

A warm welcome did not await Horace. Captain Suckling and his ship were unheard of, and Horace had to make the journey along the bustling streets of Chatham toward the docks on his own. The streets were crowded with sailors of whom Horace had to ask if they knew where the *Raissonable* lay.

Eventually, there was one who did and Horace was directed to the wind-whipped waters of the Medway. Unfortunately there were no boats to take him to the ship, and Horace was unable to attract the attention of anyone on board, as a result of the ship being at sea. Finally though, a passing officer took pity on Horace and arranged for a boat to take him to the *Raissonable* and soon Horace was on board.

Another lacklustre welcome awaited Horace. Captain Suckling was not on board and no one knew when to expect him. No one on board had even heard of him, nor had they any knowledge of their new midshipman. The crew of the *Raissonable* expressed no interest in him, and Nelson spent his first night on the gale-swept deck on his own.

Finally, someone ordered Horace to carry his belongings down two rather steep ladders to the midshipmen's quarters on the orlop deck, dark and low-beamed, smelling of damp hemp and tar. For the remainder of the day, Horace wandered the decks alone, ignored by all, thinking that to sit would appear to be idle.

Nelson may well have grown up to be notoriously fearless, but his introduction to the navy as little more than a child may well have been the most daunting experience of his life.





# "Islam - A Vicious & Wicked Faith"?



» "The BNP say that all Muslims are vicious and wicked," Guardianistas will say. Of course we do not.

The British National Party does not say that "all Muslims are wicked." No people are either all good or all bad, and no one should be blamed for the flaws of a community into which they were born. But neither do we accept the liberal lie that all cultures and religions are of equal status and value.

We are not saying that our Western values are perfect, for they are not. But we do hold that our culture - which has given the world concepts such as freedom, equality before the law and a thirst for objective knowledge - is superior to that of other peoples and religions, including Islam.

Nor are we saying that everything about Islam is wicked, for it is not. Indeed, in certain areas, such as opposition to usury, casual abortion and the destruction of traditional values in a rush for short-term profit, Islam is superior to the current 'values' that prevail in the West.

But, we refuse to go along with PC Establishment claims that the roots of terror grow from some 'perversion' of Islam. They are not; they go right into the very heart of Islam, drawing strength from the Koran itself.

The shallowness of the Establishment's "Islam itself is fine, it's the extremists who are the problem" line was summed up by Lord Rees-Mogg in the *Mail on Sunday* on 24<sup>th</sup> July: "Islam is a religion of peace - that is, after all, what the word means."

Is the man a fool or a liar? 'Islam' means 'submission', not 'peace'. Since he concludes his essay with the warning that "our immediate task is to prevent the development of a religious war in Britain", it is likely that he is lying in the misguided hope that concealing the root of the problem might lead to the problem going away.

As a way of controlling the quarrelsome tribes of seventh century Arabia, Islam may have had much to commend it. As the source of the resigned fatalism that might be needed to manage the transition of the Middle East back to a sustainable non-capitalist economy when the oil bonanza runs out, Islam could well be the best option available.

The BNP certainly does not accept the messianic Bush/Blair plan to bomb the Middle East into accepting secular democracy. As far as we are concerned, what Muslims do in their own lands is entirely up to them.

Likewise, what we do in our ancestral lands must be up to us, subject to the guidance handed down to us by past generations of our own people, whether in the form of religious strictures, political or cultural tradition.

By those lights, a religion whose holy book repeatedly endorses slavery and commands an endless war against Unbelievers is incompatible with our civilisation.

Nor does it stop there. Islam regards women not as innately different to men but as inherently inferior. A religion that institutionalises such submission must always cause conflict in a society built by people whose Anglo-Celtic ancestors held women in high regard and saw them - as modern science shows them to be - as different but complimentary, and hence equal before the law.

Perhaps most crucial of all, a religion that writes off all knowledge not found in its own ancient teachings is incompatible with the restless, Faustian spirit of our Western world, the spirit that, in addition to weapons of mass destruction, has also allowed us to unravel the mysteries of DNA and discover the wonders of modern medicine. Islam taught that those twinkling lights we see at night were placed on the surface of the upturned bowl of the night by Allah; our West has landed space probes on Mars.

## INCOMPATIBLE

It is not a matter of 'moderates' we can get along with and 'extremists' we can expel. The problem is trying to force populations dominated by two mutually incompatible worldviews into the same small landmass. Opinion polls such as that quoted by the *Daily Telegraph* on 23<sup>rd</sup> July - which found that 32% of British-based Muslims are willing to admit that they believe that Western society is decadent and immoral and should be brought to an end - are only the tip of an iceberg of irreconcilable differences.

A religion whose holy book contains verses that can - and arguably should - be taken to 'justify' the cult of the suicide bomber is self-evidently vicious and wicked.

For Blair to slaughter little children in Iraq is also vicious and wicked, but under our values, he has to disguise his actions and lie to gain acceptance for his unholy war. His counterparts on the Islamic side of the Clash of Civilisations glorify such brutality as jihad.


If justice is done by the true light of the West, Blair will one day face a war crimes trial. If his opponents in al Qaeda are judged by their native values, they can be seen as martyrs. That is why, while pledging to do our utmost to bring Blair before a Nuremberg-style court, we also affirm that, by all the standards that underpin the West, Islam is indeed a vicious and wicked faith.

That does not mean that its followers are vicious or wicked; simply that large numbers cannot stay here without their presence leading to endless bloodshed and terror.






## BNP Coffee cup with wrap around BNP logo design



**NEW**




**DESIGN**




**£5.50 (inc. £1.50 p&p)**

### Tolkien's Mythology for England




**£11.50 (inc. p&p)**

### Deculturalisation of the English people



**£6 (inc. p&p)**

### The 'West', Islam and Islamism



**£7 (inc. p&p)**

### The Pocket Book of Patriotism



**£6 (inc. p&p)**

## Folk Music of England CD



Favourite traditional songs in superb arrangements, performed by leading musicians in their field. A full range of instruments is used, from fiddles to accordions and whistles. Over one hour of music.

**£12 (inc. £2 p&p)**



# Family Festival



RWB  
2005

20th & 21st August

- Music
- Bigger and better regional stalls
- Kids rides
- May Pole
- Family BBQ
- Highland games
- Fireworks
- Paintball
- Political Speeches

Tickets are priced at £15 for individuals and £20 for families, Groups and Branches can buy tickets for £10 each (minimum order of 10 tickets apply). The event is open to members and immediate family only. People wanting to buy tickets at the gate who are not members will have to join the party before they can purchase a ticket. Those hoping to come for a rowdy weekend of drinking will be refused entry.

Please make all cheques payable to British National Party and send to PO Box 87, Ossett, Wakefield, Wf5 8WN

## Subscribe To Identity

The following rates are for 6 or 12 issues respectively (please tick box as applicable):

British Isles £15 ☐ £30 ☐

Overseas surface mail £16.50 ☐ £33 ☐

Unsealed air mail Europe £17.50 ☐ £35 ☐

Unsealed air mail Middle East, North and South America £24 ☐ £48 ☐

Unsealed air mail Australasia, Far East £25 ☐ £50 ☐

**Please Note:** These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If you are paying in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Name

Address

Postcode

Telephone

E-mail

All cheques etc should be made payable to 'British National Party' or 'British Heritage' and sent to PO Box 87, Ossett, Wakefield, WF5 8WN. You can subscribe online at [www.bnp.org.uk](http://www.bnp.org.uk). Photocopies can be used.

### Identity Bulk Order Rates

5 - £9.25; 10 - £15; 15 - £20; 20 - £24.50; 25 - £29; 30 - £34; 40 - £43.50; 50 - £52.50; 60 - £62.50; 70 - £71.50; 80 - £81; 90 - £90.50; 100 - £100

Postage - £1.05 for 5; £1.94 for 10; £4.05 for 15 - 20; £4.34 for 25 - 30; £5.19 for 40 - 50